

Original Article

Prevalence and antibiogram profiling of enterotoxigenic methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* at bovine-human interface

Ijaz, M.^{1*}; Javed, M. U.²; Ahmed, A.¹; Rasheed, H.²; Sabir, M. J.³; Jabir, A. A.³ and Batool, M.³

¹Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan; ²Ph.D. Student in Veterinary Medicine, Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan; ³MSc Student in Veterinary Medicine, Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan;

*Correspondence: M. Ijaz, Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan. Email: mijaz@uvas.edu.pk

🥶 10.22099/ijvr.2024.50719.7502

(Received 12 Jul 2024; revised version 12 Nov 2024; accepted 23 Dec 2024)

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Abstract

Background: *Staphylococcus aureus*, a ubiquitous pathogen due to its key involvement in dairy animal mastitis, is the leading cause of food-borne diseases in humans by producing various enterotoxins. **Aims:** The present study reported the prevalence of significantly increased enterotoxigenic MRSA pathogens among bovines and dairy occupational workers, along with antibiotic-resistant patterns, using the *in-vitro* technique. **Methods:** A total of 384 bovine (n=192 cattle, n=192 buffalo) milk samples and 100 human nasal or skin swab samples were collected to find out the prevalence of *S. aureus*, MRSA, *spa*, and enterotoxin genes (*seb*, *sec*) by PCR. Also, a phylogenetic analysis was conducted to compare and analyze the prevalent enterotoxin *seb* gene sequences from bovines, workers, and other sources. **Results:** The present study revealed that out of 484 total samples, 49.79% of isolates were positive for *S. aureus* while 29.46% and 66.80% of *S. aureus* isolates were positive for MRSA, and *spa* genes among bovine and human samples collectively. The prevalence of enterotoxigenic *S. aureus* was found to be 16.18% in bovine and human staphylococcal isolates. Additionally, the enterotoxigenic strains exhibited resistance to commonly used antibiotics. **Conclusion:** The present study shows that enterotoxigenic MRSA is prevalent in bovines and dairy occupational workers of study districts, Pakistan, and study isolates revealed a varying level of resistance to different antibiotics. The various virulence factors along with the antibiotic resistance makes MRSA a potential threat at animal-human interface, highlighting the need for further research.

Key words: Antibiotic resistance, Bovine mastitis, Dairy workers, Enterotoxigenic MRSA, Phylogenetic analysis

Introduction

Staphylococcus aureus, a predominant cause of mastitis, confers food-borne diseases in humans around the globe (Silva *et al.*, 2000; Aragon-Alegro *et al.*, 2007). S. aureus is a contagious pathogen that can be transferred from animal to animal as well as dairy occupational workers, posing a serious public health threat (Javed *et al.*, 2023, 2024). The bacterial properties of S. aureus lower cure rate, its transmission between animal-human interface, its ability to reside in the mammary gland, and the emergence of antibiotic-resistant strains (Almuzaini, 2024; Hannan *et al.*, 2024) are major deteriorating factors in disease causation ability of pathogen (Monistero *et al.*, 2018; El-Ashker *et al.*, 2020). The genetic variability and undue antibiotic

IJVR, 2025, Vol. 26, No. 1, Ser. No. 90, Pages 8-16

S. aureus (MRSA) which proved a pathogen of utmost importance regarding veterinary and public health concerns (Durrani et al., 2024; Algammal et al., 2020; Abdeen et al., 2021; Li et al., 2023; Qureshi et al., 2024). MRSA has been reported in different livestock species like caprines (Javed et al., 2023; Javed et al., 2024), ovines (Sabir et al., 2024), equines (Rasheed et al., 2023), bovines (Muzammil et al., 2022) in Pakistan as well as bovine population in Iran 14.7% by (Dallal et al., 2024), Turkey (16.6%) by Turutoglu et al. (2009), and China (5.56%) by (Zhu et al., 2024). Moreover, the phagocytic avoidance of bacteria by the occurrence of cell surface-associated proteins like staphylococcal protein A (spa) enhances the potential virulence ability of S. aureus (Dunman et al., 2001). Spa, similar to many of the surface proteins of S. aureus is produced during exponential phase of development the and

transcriptionally down-regulated during the postexponential phase of growth (Gao and Stewart, 2004). swabs Moreover this protein helps the bacteria to canture IgG

Moreover, this protein helps the bacteria to capture IgG molecules in an inverted position, thereby preventing the bacterial cell from phagocytosis of the host immune system (Votintseva *et al.*, 2014).

The probability of infection caused by bacteria and its toxins being shed into milk creates the zoonotic potential of clinical and sub-clinical mastitis (Guimarães et al., 2017). However, S. aureus-associated food poisoning is governed by the toxic effect of Staphylococcal enterotoxins (SEs) which act on gastrointestinal emetic receptors in humans (Dinges et al., 2000; Hui et al., 2022). The clinical acute onset of nausea, vomiting, abdominal cramps, and diarrhea are characterized by an occurrence of Staphylococcal-induced food poisoning (Loncarevic et al., 2005). There are large variety of enterotoxins produced by S. aureus, and based on their antigenicities, it is divided into five serological types (SEA to SEE) (Benkerroum, 2018; Javed et al., 2023). To elude the gastrointestinal proteases and the property of thermoresistance makes SEs more pathogenic (Nazari et al., 2014). However, the milk from infected animals is considered a main source of enterotoxigenic S. aureus of animal origin (Bryan, 1983; Gilmour and Harvey, 1990).

Several molecular and epidemiological studies have been conducted on S. aureus, associated antimicrobialresistant strains, and a list of virulence factors including enterotoxins from bovines, food, and humans (Boerema et al., 2006). However, the studies on increasing the incidence of staphylococcal infections including food poisoning at the animal-human interface, associated spillover of MRSA to dairy workers, and any possible effect of enterotoxins on antibiogram profiling of S. aureus are scarce. Therefore, this study was conducted to find out the prevalence of S. aureus and MRSA in bovines, and associated dairy workers in Pakistan. Moreover, the prevalence of two major staphylococcal enterotoxins (SEB and SEC), molecular characterization of relevant genes, and the influence of any of these enterotoxins on antibiogram profiling of staphylococcal isolates was also investigated. The present study proved to be the first molecular report on enterotoxigenic MRSA at the animal-human interface in Pakistan.

Materials and Methods

Study design

This study was conducted in Muzaffargarh and Faisalabad districts, Pakistan (Fig. 1). An overall 384 bovine milk samples (n=192 cattle, n=192 buffalo) were collected using the convenient sampling technique. The collection of milk samples was done aseptically as per the National Mastitis Council guidelines. However, the teat ends of the bovine teats were cleaned with alcohol swabs and allowed to dry before sampling. After discarding the first few streams, 5 ml of milk samples was collected in sterile tubes. The collected milk samples were screened for subclinical mastitis by surf field mastitis test (SFMT) according to the guidelines of

(Muhammad *et al.*, 2010). Furthermore, skin and nasal swabs of occupational workers (n=100) just after milking were also collected due to the public health significance of enterotoxigenic *S. aureus* among humans. The consent was taken from dairy workers before taking the nasal, throat, and hand swabs. Then, the samples positive for subclinical mastitis along with human swab samples were promptly chilled and delivered to the Medicine Research Laboratory, Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore maintaining a cold chain at 4°C.



Fig. 1: QGIS map of the study area and sample sites

Bacterial isolation and identification

The positive milk samples for subclinical mastitis and human samples were cultured on 5% blood agar by giving incubation of 24 h for 37°C. The culture media showing the beta-hemolytic pattern growth was assumed as S. aureus growth, and further streaked on Mannitol salt agar which is differential media for the selective growth of S. aureus for the confirmation of S. aureus (Ahmed et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2023; Javed et al., 2024). The colonies of S. aureus were phenotypically confirmed mannitol fermentation, gram staining, bv and biochemical tests like catalase and coagulase. The genotypic confirmation of S. aureus was done by the amplification of a gene necessary for thermo nuclease production e.g. nuc gene. DNA extraction of overnight growth of S. aureus in BHI broth was done using a DNA extraction kit (WizPrepTM gDNA Mini kit Cell/Tissue) according to the guidelines of the manufacturer. The extracted DNA after purity concentration measurements by NanoDrop technology was further processed for molecular identification of S. aureus by PCR targeting the *nuc* gene using primers in (Table 1) as reported by (Louie et al., 2000). The conditions for the thermocycler amplification include initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min followed by 25 cycles of final denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 55°C for 55 s, and extension for 5 min at 72°C. The confirmed S. aureus isolates were further confirmed for virulence genes by PCR and sequencing.

Targeted gene	Primers	Base pair	References
пис	F: 5´-GCGATTGATGGTGATACGGTT-3´ R: 3´-AGCCAAGCCTTGACGAACTAAAGC-5´	270	Louie et al. (2000)
mecA	<pre>F: 5´-TGGCATTCGTGTCACAATCG-3´ R: 3´-CTGGAACTTGTTGAGCAGAG-5´</pre>	310	Altaf <i>et al.</i> (2020)
spa	F: 5´-CAAGCACCAAAAGAGGAA-3´ R: 3´-CACCAGGTTTAACGACAT-5´	110-320	Yunita et al. (2020)
seb	F: 5 [^] -CCTTAAACCAGATGAGTTGCACA-3 [^] R: 3 [^] -ACCATCTTCAAATACCCGAACA-5 [^]	405	Srinivasan et al. (2006)
sec	F: 5´-AGATGAAGTAGTTGATGTGTATGG-3´ R: 3´-CACACTTTTAGAATCAACCG-5´	451	Peles et al. (2007)

Table 1: List of main primers used in the study

Table 2: The conditions used	l for PCR optimization	on of different genes
------------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------

Targeted gene	Initial denaturation (°C)	Final denaturation (°C)	Annealing temperature (°C)	Initial extension (°C)	Final extension (°C)	References
mecA	95 for 5 min	95 for 30 s	58 for 30 s	72 for 30 s	72 for 10 min	Altaf et al. (2020)
spa	94 for 30 s	94 for 1 min	60 for 1 min	72 for 1 min	72 for 30 s	Yunita et al. (2020)
seb	94 for 5 min	94 for 30 s	55 for 30 s	-	72 for 10 min	Srinivasan et al. (2006)
sec	94 for 5 min	95 for 1 min	55 for 1 min	72 for 1 min	72 for 10 min	Peles et al. (2007)

Phenotypic and molecular detection of methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*

The phenotypic identification of methicillin-resistant and sensitive S. aureus was performed by Kirby Baurs disc diffusion assay. For phenotypic detection, the actively grown culture of S. aureus was swabbed on Mueller Hinton Agar followed by the placement of a cefoxitin disc (30 µg), providing the incubation at 37°C for 24 h. The zones of inhibition were measured and compared with the standards of CLSI (2019) to declare the isolate as methicillin-sensitive or methicillin-resistant S. aureus (Javed et al., 2023). Isolates that showed a zone of ≤ 21 mm around the cefoxitin disc were considered MRSA and isolates revealing a zone of ≥ 22 mm were considered as MSSA as per CLSI standards. The DNA extraction of S. aureus isolates from bovines and occupational workers was done using the DNA extraction kit method (Aqib et al., 2017) and was subjected to PCR for the molecular identification of the mecA gene using already reported primers and conditions (Javed et al., 2023). For positive control, the MRSA reported by Javed et al. (2024) was used as a reference strain. The isolates that showed a band on 310 bp were considered mecA-positive; thus, while the isolates did not carry mecA gene, MRSA were named methicillin-sensitive S. aureus.

Molecular identification of virulence genes in *S. aureus*

The DNA samples of *S. aureus* isolates from bovine and human samples were further subjected to conventional PCR for the molecular detection of genes of three virulence factors including staphylococcal enterotoxins B and C (*seb*, and *sec*) and staphylococcal protein A (*spa*). The primers and conditions that were used are indicated in Tables 1 and 2. The samples that showed positive bands for *seb* or *sec* were termed enterotoxigenic *S. aureus* based on PCR results. The prevalence and occurrence of each virulence factor among *S. aureus* isolates were also determined from bovine and human samples, respectively.

Sequencing and phylogenetic analysis

The PCR bands of more prevalent enterotoxin genes (seb) from bovine and human samples were viewed and aseptically sliced on a UV illuminator and then sent to a renowned lab for sequencing. A total of five representative seb bovine bands and one human seb were sent for sequencing. The obtained sequences were first confirmed by basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) alignment. The sequences were further subjected to Clustal W multiple alignment and a comparison of bovine and human gene sequences was done to check out the possible similarity among the genes. All the gene sequences from bovines and humans were also compared with retrieved sequences of Seb from different sources (food, feaces, liver, infection, blood, nasal swab, wound, and abiotic surfaces) to check out the significant similarities among the sequences due to public health importance of the toxin. The phylogenetic tree by maximum likelihood method was also constructed to explore the genetic relationship among the sequences from different sources. The multiple alignment and construction of the tree were executed using BioEdit and MegaX software, respectively (Ishaq et al., 2022; Ghauri *et al.*, 2021).

In-vitro antibiogram profiling of enterotoxigenic *S. aureus*

The *in-vitro* susceptibility trials of antibiotics against *S. aureus* isolates harboring *seb* and *sec* genes were done using the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method. To do so,

	Disc potency	CLSI stands	ards zones of inhi	bition (mm)	Resistanc producing	Multiple antibiotic resistance index (MARI)					
Antibiotics	μg)		Intermediate	Sensitive	seb=24 (%)	sec=15 (%)	Total (%)	No. of antibiotics resistant	is	lo. of olates %	MARI
Linezolid	30	≤20		≥21	06 (25.00)	04 (26.67)	10 (25.64)	0	n 03	7.69	0
Amoxicillin	25	≤20 ≤16	_	≥ 21	21 (87.50)	13 (86.67)	34 (87.18)	1	03	5.13	0.1
Gentamicin	10	10 ≤12	13-14	≥15	17 (70.83)	11 (73.33)	28 (71.80)	2	11	28.21	0.2
Tylosin	30	 ≤16	17-19	_10 ≥20	13 (54.17)	10 (66.67)	23 (58.97)	3	08	20.51	0.3
Ciprofloxacin	5	≤15	16-20	≥21	12 (50.00)	06 (40.00)	18 (46.15)	4	00	00	0.4
Fusidic Acid	10	 ≤14	15-22	≥23	08 (33.33)	03 (20.00)	11 (28.21)	5	04	10.26	0.5
Oxytetracycline	30		15-18	≥19	19 (79.17)	12 (80.00)	31 (79.45)	6	06	15.38	0.6
TMP+SUL	1.25/23.75	≤ 10	11-15	≥16	17 (70.83)	10 (66.67)	27 (69.23)	7	03	7.69	0.7
Moxifloxacin	5		21-23	≥24	03 (12.50)	03 (20.00)	06 (15.38)	8	02	5.13	0.8
Levofloxacin	5	 ≤15	16-18		02 (08.33)	01 (06.67)	03 (07.70)	9	00	00	0.9

Table 3: Resistance profile of enterotoxin-producing (seb and sec) S. aureus isolates against antibiotics

 Table 4: Genotypic prevalence of S. aureus and MRSA among bovine and human samples

$\begin{tabular}{cccc} Cattle & Buffalo & Human & To \\ (n=192) & (n=192) & (n=100) & (n=40) \\ \end{tabular}$	Pathogen		Prevale	ence (%)	
	1 autogen	Cattle	Buffalo	Human	Total
S. aureus 101 (52.60%) 93 (48.44%) 47 (47.00%) 241 (49		(n=192)	(n=192)	(n=100)	(n=484)
	S. aureus	101 (52.60%)	93 (48.44%)	47 (47.00%)	241 (49.79%)
MRSA 39 (38.61%) 27 (29.03%) 05 (10.64%) 71 (29	MRSA	39 (38.61%)	27 (29.03%)	05 (10.64%)	71 (29.46%)

 Table 5: Prevalence of virulence factors in S. aureus isolates

 from bovines and humans

Virulence		Prevale	ence (%)	
factors	Cattle	Buffalo	Human	Total
	(n=101)	(n=93)	(n=47)	(n=241)
seb	14 (13.86%)	06 (06.45%)	04 (08.51%)	24 (09.96%)
sec	09 (08.91%)	04 (04.30%)	02 (04.26%)	15 (06.22%)
spa	71 (70.30%)	69 (74.20%)	21 (44.69%)	161 (66.80%)

the growth of enterotoxigenic S. aureus was adjusted to 0.5 McFarland standards and swabbed on Mueller Hinton agar. The antibiotic discs of various groups were checked against these isolates. The antibiotic discs were aseptically placed on activated growth of enterotoxigenic S. aureus of either toxin type (seb and sec) followed by incubation of 37°C for 24 h. The resultant zones of inhibitions were measured and compared with standard zones provided by the CLSI manual (2019) as mentioned in Table 3. The status of either resistant or sensitive antibiotics against seb-producing S. aureus and secproducing S. aureus was noted. The cumulative resistance pattern was also declared which indicates the cumulative resistance percentage of enterotoxigenic S. aureus against any specific antibiotic. Moreover, the multiple antibiotic resistance index was also calculated as per the formula provided by Javed et al. (2023).

Statistical analysis

The prevalence of *S. aureus* and enterotoxigenic MRSA was calculated using the formula provided by (Thrushfield, 2013) while the results of antibiogram profiling were calculated using percentage (%) of descriptive statistics.

Results

Prevalence of S. aureus and MRSA

The results of the present study showed that out of

384 milk samples from bovine, a total of 194 milk samples (comprising cattle = 101, buffalo = 93) were found positive for *S. aureus* based on thermonuclease gene (*nuc*) by PCR. PCR declared only 47 swab samples to be positive for *S. aureus* from dairy farm workers. However, the overall cumulative prevalence of *S. aureus* among bovine and human samples was found to be 49.79% on a PCR basis. Moreover, MRSA was found 38.61% and 29.03% prevalent among the *S. aureus* samples of cattle and buffalo based on *mecA* gene presence, respectively. While among the human *S. aureus* samples, only 10.64% of isolates were MRSA positive based on *mecA* gene. The overall prevalence of MRSA among all the bovine and human samples was found to be 29.46% (Table 4).

Prevalence of enterotoxins and *spa* gene in *S*. *aureus* isolates

The presence of virulence activity was determined molecularly by targeting the spa gene. The findings showed that 70.30%, 74.20%, and 44.69% of isolates of cattle, buffalo, and humans had spa genes in their genome which indicated the virulence activity of the S. aureus isolates (Table 5). The confirmed strains of S. aureus also proceeded further to find out the presence of staphylococcal enterotoxins (SEs). Among all tested isolates of S. aureus from both bovine and humans, about 39 (16.18%) isolates were involved in the production of staphylococcal enterotoxins (SEs) (Table 5). The present study declared S. aureus to be enterotoxigenic based on seb and sec gene confirmation among human and bovine isolates by PCR. With an overall occurrence of 9.96% seb in human and bovine samples of the region, the seb gene was found to be 13.86%, 6.45%, and 8.51% prevalent among cattle, buffalo, and human S. aureus isolates, respectively. The sec was found to be 8.91%, 4.30%, and 4.26% prevalent among cattle, buffalo, and human samples, respectively. Comparatively, the seb gene was found more prevalent as compared with the sec gene among the enterotoxigenic S. aureus. Furthermore, the cumulative occurrence of both seb and sec genes among the enterotoxigenic S. aureus of cattle, buffalo, and human samples was also listed in (Table 5).

Phylogenetic evaluation of enterotoxigenic S. aureus

The prevalent enterotoxin gene (seb) in this study was compared with already reported gene sequences from different sources. Moreover, the current gene sequences of dairy workers and bovines were also compared to determine the significant similarity among the sequences at the molecular level. All the sequences were aligned by multiple alignment software. The alignment revealed that the present study isolates showed substitution, deletion, and addition at different places in comparison with each other or with other isolates from different countries. The graphic representation of Clustal W multiple alignments among sequences in the present study revealed common substitution at (2nd, 5th) deletion at (13th, 22nd, 286th, 313th, 359th, 373rd) and addition at (29th, 373th) positions, respectively. However, the isolate R16 showed additional substitution at 1st, 2nd, and 3rd positions in comparison with isolate R41. Meanwhile, the comparison of seb gene sequence from dairy workers with bovine milk-associated seb gene showed a difference at only 3 positions which could explain the possible spread of enterotoxigenic S. aureus between bovines and dairy workers at the molecular level (Fig. 2).

The already reported *seb* gene sequences from different sources revealed significant differences and similarities with local study sequences. The reported

sequences showed common substitution at (1st, 2nd, 4th, and 29th) and deletion at (13th, 88th, 286th, 313th, 359th, 373rd) positions. All the reported sequences showed the common addition of nucleotide (A) at position 22nd in alignment. The gene sequences with accession No.: KX168632 (feces) and AB630021 (abiotic surfaces) also exhibited additional substitution at 5 different places. Similarly, other isolates (accession CP053183 KX168631 No.: (liver), (infection). CP045468 (blood), KX168629 (nasal swab), KC428707 (wound) also showed substitutions at different places in comparison with local study seb isolates. Moreover, the gene sequence from India (accession No.: AM158256) showed less difference and was found most similar to the present study sequences of the seb gene as compared with others (Fig. 2). The significant difference among the current sequences of bovine and human seb with already reported gene sequences from different sources showed toxigenic S. aureus to be similar among bovine, human, and other sources and its occurrence is escalating among the dairy worker population increases the chances of food poisoning and foodborne maladies in them.

The phylogenetic analysis by the construction of a tree via the Maximum likelihood method at 1,000 replication bootstrapping technique revealed that all the isolates in the present study showed more similarity with

		20	20	40			70	
		La ses Texas I						
Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak	TATTACTGGTTTTG	ATGGAAA TAT	GAA GTTTTG	TATGATGATA	ATCATGTATC	AGCAATAAAC	GTTAAATCTAT	AGAT
Seb Bovine milk R39, Pak	C		A					
Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak	ATA. C		A					
Seb Bovine milk KDF45, Pak Seb Human nasal swab H2, Pak	.T.C							
AM158256 Seb (Food), India	AT C							
KX168632 Seb (Feces), Germany	AT. C							
CP053183 Seb (Liver), China	AT. C	A	A					
KX168631 Seb (Infection), Swit CP045468 Seb (Blood), China	AT.C.							
KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi	AT.C.							
KC428707 Seb (Wound), Iran	AT. C		A					
AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface)	AT. C	A	A A	C				
	90 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	100	110	120	130	140	150	160
Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak	CAATTTC TATACT	TTGACTTAATA	TATTCTATTA	AGGACACTAA	GTTAGGGAAT	TATGATAATG	TTCGAGTCGA	TTTA
Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak								
Seb Bovine milk R39, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak			100000000000					
Seb Bovine milk KDF45, Pak								
Seb Human nasal swab H2, Pak								
AM158256 Seb (Food), India								
KX168632 Seb (Feces), Germany CP053183 Seb (Liver), China								
KX168631 Seb (Infection), Swit								
CP045468 Seb (Blood), China								
KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KC428707 Seb (Wound), Iran								
AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface)								
incontract,								
	170	180	190	200	210	220	230	240
Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak	AAAACAAAGATTTA		Canada and a second					
Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak	AAAACAAAGATTTA	Jer Garaaara	Caaada aaa	TACOLAGALO	10111000400	Laar rar rac	La Caal GI Le	
Seb Bovine milk R39, Pak								
Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak								
Seb Bovine milk KDF45, Pak Seb Human nasal swab H2, Pak								
AM158256 Seb (Food), India								
KX168632 Seb (Feces), Germany								
CP053183 Seb (Liver), China KX168631 Seb (Infection), Swit						T		
KAIGGESI SED (Intection), Swit								
CP045468 Seb (Blood), China						T		
CP045468 Seb (Blood), China KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi								
KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KC428707 Seb (Wound), Iran								
KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi								
KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KC428707 Seb (Wound), Iran	250	260	270	200	290		210	
KC168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KC428707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface)	250	260	270	280	290	300	310 1	 320 1
KC168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KC428707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Boving milk R41, Pak	250	260 IGATATTAATT	270 CACATCAAAC	280 I I TGACAAACGA	290	300	310 1	 320 1
KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KX428707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak	250 TCTAAAAAAACGAA	260 IGATATTAATT	270 CACATCAAAC	280 I I TGACAAACGA	290	300	310 1	 320 1
KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KX428707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R39, Pak Seb Bovine milk R39, Pak	250 TCTAAAAAAACGAA	260 IGATATTAATT	270 CACATCAAAC	280 I I TGACAAACGA	290	300	310 1	 320 1
KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KX428707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R49, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak	250 TCTAAAAAAACGAA	260 IGATATTAATT	270 CACATCAAAC	280 I I TGACAAACGA	290	300	310 1	 320 1
<pre>KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KX168707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R45, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak Seb Bovine milk R1645, Pak Seb Bovine milk R1645, Pak</pre>	250 TCTAAAAAAACGAA	260 IGATATTAATT	270 CACATCAAAC	280 I I TGACAAACGA	290	300	310 1	 320 1
 KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KX2428707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R39, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak AM158256 Seb (Foces), Germany 	reo TCTAAAAAAACGAA	eso I I I I IGATATTAATT	270 CACATCAAAC	280 I I IGACAAACGA	290 AAAACTTGT	300 ATGTATGGTG	310 STGTAAC TG4	 320 1
KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KX428707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R0, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak Seb Bovine milk KDF45, Pak Seb Bovine milk KDF45, Pak Seb Human nasal swab H2, Pak AM158256 Seb (Food), India KX168632 Seb (Food), India	550 TCTAAAAAAACGAA	240 I GATATTAATT	270 - - - - - - - - - -	200 TGACAAACGA	AAAACTIGT	200 	310 57GTAAC TO	 320 1
<pre>KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KX1428707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak Seb Bovine milk R0745, Pak Seb Bovine milk R0745, Pak Seb Bovine milk K0745, Pak Seb Bovine Milk</pre>	reo TCTAAAAAAACGAA	240 I GATATTAATT	270 - - - - - - - - - -	200 TGACAAACGA	AAAACTIGT	300 ATGTATGGTG	310 57GTAAC TO	 320 1
<pre>KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi RXC428707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R13, Pak Seb Bovine milk R13, Pak Seb Bovine milk KDF45, Pak Seb Human nasal swab H2, Pak AM158256 Seb (Fecce), Germany KX168632 Seb (Fecce), Germany CR168633 Seb (Infection), Swit CP045468 Seb (Blocd), China</pre>	TCTAAAAAAACGAA	550 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	270 I CACATCAAAC	200 I TGACAAACGA	290 	T T POG ATIGTATOGIG		 320 1
<pre>KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KXC428707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R39, Pak Seb Bovine milk R39, Pak Seb Bovine milk R39, Pak Seb Bovine milk R0545, Pak Seb Bowine milk R0545, Pak AM158256 Seb (Focd), India RX168632 Seb (Feces), Germany CP053183 Seb (Liver), China tCD05636 Seb (Bace), China KX168629 Seb (Masal swab), Swi XX168629 Seb (Wound), Iran</pre>	TCTAAAAAAACGAA	250 TGATATTAAT	270 CACATCAAAC G. G. G.	200 TGACAAACGA	AAAACTTGT	200 ATGTATGGTG	310 3TOTAAC TO	 320 1
KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KX168707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak Seb Bovine milk R1645, Pak Seb Bovine milk R0745, Pak Seb Ruman nasal swab H2, Pak AM158256 Seb (Food), India K166631 Seb (Foces), Corman KX168631 Seb (Infection), Swit CP045468 Seb (Blood), China	TCTAAAAAAACGAA	250 TGATATTAAT	270 CACATCAAAC G. G. G.	200 TGACAAACGA	AAAACTTGT	200 ATGTATGGTG	310 3TOTAAC TO	 320 1
<pre>KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KXC428707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R39, Pak Seb Bovine milk R39, Pak Seb Bovine milk R39, Pak Seb Bovine milk R0545, Pak Seb Bowine milk R0545, Pak AM158256 Seb (Focd), India RX168632 Seb (Feces), Germany CP053183 Seb (Liver), China tCD056646 Seb (Bace), China KX168629 Seb (Masal swab), Swi XX168629 Seb (Wound), Iran</pre>	reto TCTAAAAAAACGAA	GATATTAATT		zio Ingacaaacga	200 44444 - 195	300 300 101 1100 10	310 3TOTAAC TO	 320 1
KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KX168707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak Seb Bovine milk R0745, Pak Seb Bovine milk R0745, Pak Seb Bovine milk R0745, Pak Seb Bovine milk K0745, Pak Seb Bovine Milk K0745, Commany CP053183 Seb (Infection), Swit CP045468 Seb (Infection), Swit CP045468 Seb (Infection), Swit KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi	220 1 CTAAAAAAACCAA CTAAAAAAACCAA G. 220 1	280 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	270 A C AT C AA A C A C A T C AA A C A C A T C AA A C A C A C A C A C A C A C A C A	280 TGACAAACGA TGACAAACGA T TGACAAACGA	220 AAAAC 07	300 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	310 3TOTAAC TO	 320 1
<pre>KX1686229 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KXC428707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Mound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R39, Pak Seb Bovine milk R0545, Pak Seb Bovine milk R0545, Pak AM158256 Seb (Feces), Germany CP053183 Seb (Itver), China KX168629 Seb (Feces), Cormany CP053183 Seb (Liver), China KX168629 Seb (Masal swab), Swi KX168629 Seb (Masal swab), Swi KX1686207 Seb (Mound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak</pre>	го тстааааааассааа са аро алтодааассааат		270 - 1	220 IGACAAACGA IGACAACGA IGACAACGAACGA IGACAACGAACGAACGAACAACGA IGACAACGAACGAACAACAACGAACAACAACAACAACAACA	200 AAAAACTIGT 300 ATTIGAA GA	307 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	310 3TOTAAC TO	 320 1
KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KX168707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak Seb Bovine milk R0745, Pak Seb Bovine milk R0745, Pak AM158256 Seb (Food), Icdiany C7053183 Seb (Infection), Swit C7045468 Seb (Infection), Swit C7045468 Seb (Infection), Swit C7045468 Seb (Blood), China KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KX168620 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KX168620 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KX1680021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak	220 1 CTAAAAAAACCAA CTAAAAAAACCAA G. 220 1		270 - 1	220 IGACAAACGA IGACAACGA IGACAACGAACGA IGACAACGAACGAACGAACAACGA IGACAACGAACGAACAACAACGAACAACAACAACAACAACA	200 AAAAACTIGT 300 ATTIGAA GA	307 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	310 3TOTAAC TO	 320 1
 KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KX428707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Mound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R39, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak Seb Bowine milk R16, Pak AM158256 Seb (Feces), Germany CP053183 Seb (Infection), Swit CX168623 Seb (Feces), Germany CP053183 Seb (Infection), Swit CX168629 Seb (Mound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Mound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Mound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Mound), Jran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak 	го тстааааааассааа са аро алтодааассааат		270 - 1	220 IGACAAACGA IGACAACGA IGACAACGAACGA IGACAACGAACGAACGAACAACGA IGACAACGAACGAACAACAACGAACAACAACAACAACAACA	200 AAAAACTIGT 300 ATTIGAA GA	307 1 1 1 ATGT ATGGTG	310 3TOTAAC TO	 320 1
KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KX2428707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Mound), Iran Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak MI58256 Seb (Feces), Germany CP053183 Seb (Infection), Swit CP045468 Seb (Infection), Swit CP045468 Seb (Infection), Swit CP045468 Seb (Infection), Swit RX168623 Seb (Infection), Swit Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R45, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak	го тстааааааассааа са аро алтодааассааат	250 TOATATTAATT 340 AGATAATATA	570 CACATCAAAC G G G G G G G	280 TGACAAACGA 360 1 GGI 1 CGGGG	200 AAAAACTIGT 300 ATTIGAA GA	307 1 1 1 ATGT ATGGTG	310 3TOTAAC TO	 320 1
 KX1686229 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi RK2428707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Mound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R30, Pak Seb Bovine milk R30, Pak Seb Bovine milk R0F45, Pak Seb Bovine milk KDF45, Pak AM158256 Seb (Fecce), Germany CK163632 Seb (Blood), China KK168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KK168629 Seb (Masal swab), Swi KK168629 Seb (Mound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R50, Pak Seb Bovine milk R0F45, Pak 	CTAAAAAAACGAA		270 	220 GacaaacGa Control Control Control	220 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	370 370 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	310 3TOTAAC TO	 320 1
KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KX168707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak Seb Bovine milk R0745, Pak Seb Bovine milk R0745, Pak Seb Bovine milk R0745, Pak KX168632 Seb (Fecces), Germany CP053183 Seb (Infection), Swit CP045468 Seb (Blood), China KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak	с. с. с. с. с. с. с. с. с. с. с. с. с. с	240 	270 CACATCAAAC CACATCAAAC G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	220 IGA () AAACGA T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	220 AAAACTIGT AAAACTIGT	370 370 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	310 3TOTAAC TO	 320 1
 KX1686229 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi RK2428707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Mound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R30, Pak Seb Bovine milk R30, Pak Seb Bovine milk R0F45, Pak Seb Bovine milk KDF45, Pak AM158256 Seb (Fecce), Germany CK163632 Seb (Blood), China KK168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KK168629 Seb (Masal swab), Swi KK168629 Seb (Mound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R50, Pak Seb Bovine milk R0F45, Pak 	CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC	240 		220 IGA () AAACGA T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	220 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	370 370 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	310 3TOTAAC TO	 320 1
 KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KX168707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Mound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R39, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak AM158256 Seb (Feces), Germany CP053183 Seb (Infection), Swit CP045468 Seb (Feces), Germany CP045468 Seb (Infection), Swit CP045468 Seb (Infection), Swit CP045468 Seb (Mound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak 	CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC	260 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	270 A A A C A A A C A A A C A A A C A A C A A C A A A C A C A C	220 TGA TAACGA 320 TGT 1 COOCT	220 AAAACTIGT AAAACTIGT	200 AT 01 A 2003 CO AT 05 A 2003 CO TOOT	310 3TOTAAC TO	 320 1
<pre>KX1686229 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi RXC428707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Mound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R43, Pak Seb Bovine milk R45, Pak Seb Bovine milk R57, Pak Seb Bovine milk R0F45, Pak AM158256 Seb (Fecce), Germany CX168632 Seb (Fecce), Germany CX168633 Seb (Hresting), Sti KX168629 Seb (Masal swab), Swi RX168629 Seb (Masal swab), Swi Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R42, Pak Seb Bovine milk R42, Pak Seb Bovine milk R42, Pak Seb Bovine milk R45, Pak Seb Bovine Bovine Bovine Seb R45, Pak Seb Bovine Bovi</pre>	250 ICTAAAAAAACCAAA G G AATOGAAACCAATT	260 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	270 A A A C A A A C A A A C A A A C A A C A A C A A A C A C A C	220 TGA TAACGA 320 TGT 1 COOCT	220 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	200 AT 01 A 2003 CO AT 05 A 2003 CO TOOT	310 3TOTAAC TO	 320 1
KX168629 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi KX168707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Mound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak KX168632 Seb (Feces), Germany CP045468 Seb (Infection), Swit CP045468 Seb (Masal swab), Swi RX16863021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R45, Pak Seb Bovine milk R16, Pak Seb B	250 ICTAAAAAAACCAAA G G AATOGAAACCAATT	240 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	270 ACAT CAAAC ACAT CAAAC ACAT CAAAC ACAT CAAAC ACAT CAAC	200 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	220 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3707 A T C T A T C C T C A T C T A T C C T C T C C T	310 3TOTAAC TO	 320 1
<pre>KX1686229 Seb (Nasal swab), Swi RXC428707 Seb (Wound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Mound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine milk R45, Pak Seb Bovine milk R57, Pak Seb Bovine milk KDF45, Pak AM158256 Seb (Fecce), Germany CK168632 Seb (Fecce), Germany CK168633 Seb (Hresting), Sti KX168629 Seb (Masal swab), Swi RXC428707 Seb (Blood), China KX168629 Seb (Masal swab), Swi RXC428707 Seb (Mound), Iran AB630021 Seb (Abiotic surface) Seb Bovine milk R41, Pak Seb Bovine milk R40, Pak Seb Bovine Bob R40, Pak Seb Bovine Bob R40, Pak Seb Bob Bob R40, Pak Seb R40, Pak Seb Bob R40, Pak Seb B60, Pak Seb B</pre>	ато ТСТАЛАЛАЛАССАА ТСТАЛАЛАЛАССАА СС 		270 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	220 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	200 AAAAACTIGT 300 ATTIGAA GA		310 3TOTAAC TO	 320 1

Fig. 2: Clustal W multiple alignment of local study sequences of Enterotoxin B (seb) gene with already reported sequences from different sources

each other as compared with sequences in other countries. Also, the study isolates R40 and KDF45 showed more resemblance with each other as compared with other study isolates and formed a separate clad. The study isolates showed less similarity with other isolates reported from different countries including the sequence from Japan (abiotic surfaces; accession No.: AB630021), Germany (feces; accession No.: KX168632), Switzerland (infection; accession no.: KX168631), India (food; accession No.: AM158256) and they made separate clad to study isolates (Fig. 3).



Fig. 3: Phylogenetic analysis of Enterotoxin B (*seb*) gene of the study isolates with NCBI Genbank reported sequences from different sources using the maximum likelihood method and 1000 bootstrap technique

Antibiotic resistance of enterotoxigenic *S. aureus* isolates

The antibiogram of S. aureus producing enterotoxins (n=39) was evaluated against different antibiotics using the Kirby-Bauer technique. The findings of the antibiogram profile depicted that maximum isolates of S. aureus producing enterotoxins (B, C) were found resistant against amoxicillin (87.18%), tetracycline (79.45%),and trimethoprim+sulfamethoxazole (69.23%). Moreover, more antibiotics were found resistant to the seb-producing S. aureus isolates as compared with the isolates which produce Sec enterotoxin. Among the fluoroquinolones, levofloxacin (7.70%) and moxifloxacin (15.38%) were found sensitive against enterotoxin-producing S. aureus. Moreover, linezolid was also found sensitive against the study isolates. Enterotoxin-producing S. aureus (n=39) was also evaluated using the multiple drug resistance index (MARI). 23/39 (58.97%) of the 39 examined isolates had a MARI score larger than 0.2 and declared as multiple drug-resistant because they have resistance to more than three antimicrobial classes. Of the 39 tested isolates, 41.03% (16/39) have a MARI value below and equal to 0.2. There are no isolates with a MARI index of 1.0.

Discussion

S. aureus accounts for almost 30% of intra-mammary infections around the globe (Barkema et al., 2006). The

pathogenic characteristics and toxin-producing ability of *S. aureus* make it the most prevalent mastitogen of subclinical mastitis in various countries of the world (Bramley *et al.*, 1996). The acquisition of antibiotic-resistance genes and the production of enterotoxin in *S. aureus* has led to the evolution of most emerging problems regarding public health concerns (Algammal *et al.*, 2020).

In the present study, the genotypic prevalence of S. aureus was found to be 52.60%, 48.44%, and 47% among cattle, buffalo, and human samples, respectively. The study results were in line with the findings of several other authors who reported an overall prevalence in the range of 28% to 33% in bovines of various countries (Sharma et al., 2011; Pamuk et al., 2012; UH et al., 2014; Saka and Terzi Gulel, 2018). However, MRSA was found prevalent at 38.61%, 29.03%, and 10.64% in cattle, buffalo, and human samples, respectively. These results were concomitant with the overall prevalence of 34% of bovines in the area of Pakistan (Aqib et al., 2017). While, other studies reported higher MRSA prevalence in China (47.6%), Ethiopia (42.9%), and Egypt (35.7%) (Algammal et al., 2020; Girmay et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2020). The present study reports the prevalence of MRSA as 10.63% which is in agreement with the results of (Weese et al., 2005) who reported a 13% prevalence of MRSA from animal occupational workers. The reason for the prevalent MRSA pathogen in the present study area could be due to poor hygienic conditions at farm level, environmental contamination, unsatisfactory milking practices, and overuse of antibiotics without consulting a veterinarian.

In the present study, the overall activity of virulence factors was noted as 16.18% in S. aureus isolates from bovine and human samples. While, the prevalence of enterotoxin genes (seb and sec) in cattle (13.86%, 8.91%) and buffalo (6.45%, 4.30%) were found in agreement with results reported by (Nazari et al., 2014) who also observed a 16.6% occurrence of seb gene in cow mastitis milk while all the isolates were found negative regarding sec gene. Similarly, some other studies have also reported a lesser 2.17% prevalence of sec in cow milk (Ewida and Al-Hosary, 2020). However, the prevalence of 11.8% enterotoxin genes was also found in buffalo milk (Saka and Terzi Gulel, 2018). In the present study, the higher prevalence of the enterotoxin gene (SEC) shows its key role in S. aureus persistency in intramammary infections, and it also helps to evade the bovine intra-mammary immune response as explained by (Ferens et al., 1998). Moreover, in our study, the 12.76% prevalence of enterotoxigenic S. aureus in occupational workers indicates the spillover potential of the pathogen at the human-animal interface. Enterotoxigenic S. aureus not only confers the persistent intra-mammary infection but also plays a significant role in food poisoning maladies in humans (Weese, 2012). The bioinformatics analysis revealed that the seb gene sequence from humans resembles the gene sequence of seb from the bovine origin, which indicates the possible transfer of pathogen between dairy workers and animals as S.

aureus is a contagious organism and can transfer horizontally. The escalating issue of enterotoxigenic *S. aureus* among dairy workers is posing a serious threat and can be a cause of increasing food/milk-borne maladies among humans. However, good milk hygiene practices and implementing stringent mastitis control programs can reduce the chances of pathogen occurrence and transfer.

The findings of antibiogram profiling of enterotoxigenic S. aureus showed that the highest percentage of resistance was seen towards amoxicillin (87.18%) followed by tetracycline (79.45%), and TMP+Sul (69.23%) while levofloxacin (92.3%) and moxifloxacin (15.38%) were found to be most sensitive antibiotics against the tested S. aureus isolates. These findings are in line with previous studies conducted in different parts of the world like Iran by Alian et al. (2012), Eygpt by Ali et al. (2017) Jordan by Obaidat et al. (2018), and China by Wu et al. (2019). Similar findings have been reported in Pakistan in different species as well (Javed et al., 2023; Sabir et al., 2024). The MAR index is considered a health risk assessment indicator to ascertain if the isolates originate from areas with high or low antibiotic usage. This study reported that 58.98% of isolates have MARI above 2 while 41.02% of isolates have MARI below 0.2 which is contrary to the findings of (Kiš et al., 2021; Javed et al., 2023). The increase in trends of resistant strains of MRSA is associated with the imprudent and irrational use of antibiotics in both veterinary and human medicine (Javed et al., 2023).

The antibiotic resistance against the *S. aureus* pathogen being an emerging issue could be related to toxin production as in our study the enterotoxigenic *S. aureus* shows more resistance towards Amoxicillin, Gentamicin, and Tetracycline antibiotics which supports the findings of Vintov *et al.* (2003) who explained the antibiotic resistance against more commonly used antibiotics, especially penicillin related group. Food has a significant role in the spread of antibiotic resistance. This type of transfer can happen through the ingestion of resistant strains of the original food microflora, the transfer of resistant food-borne pathogens, potent toxins, antibiotic residues in food, or resistance transfer to pathogenic bacteria (Ortega *et al.*, 2010).

A multidisciplinary strategy involving veterinary medicine, human health, and environmental interfaces is needed to address this enormous problem. Public awareness campaigns stressing the safe handling and consumption of animal products, monitoring programs to track antibiotic resistance patterns, and the cautious use of antibiotics in livestock are all examples of strategies. In addition, strategies and regulations that encourage the prudent use of antibiotics in dairying are necessary to reduce the growing threat posed by MRSA that comes from animals. In light of this new issue, a coordinated effort is required to guarantee the sustainability of the environment, agriculture, and public health (Roy *et al.*, 2024).

The present study reveals the higher prevalence of

enterotoxigenic *S. aureus* in both dairy animals and occupational workers. This study also highlights the zoonotic importance of the pathogen as it can be transferred from dairy animals to occupational workers. The antibiotic susceptibility trial against the enterotoxigenic *S. aureus* showed that the pathogen revealed higher resistance against commonly used antibiotics in field conditions and was found susceptible to the ofloxacin group of antibiotics.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the Medicine Research Laboratory (MRL), Department of Veterinary Medicine, University and Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore and the project No. PHEC/ARA/PIRCA/20150/4 entitled "Characterization of pathogenic *S. aureus* from all dairy animals along with the development of common polyvalent *S. aureus* vaccine" funded by the Punjab Higher Education Commission (PHEC).

Conflict of interest

The corresponding author and co-authors declared no conflict of interest.

References

- Abdeen, EE; Mousa, WS; Abdel-Tawab, AA; El-Faramawy, R and Abo-Shama, UH (2021). Phenotypic, genotypic and antibiogram among *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from bovine subclinical mastitis. Pak. Vet. J., 41: 289-293. http://dx.doi.org/10.29261/pakvetj/2021.008.
- Ahmed, A; Ijaz, M; Khan, JA and Anjum, AA (2022). Molecular characterization and therapeutic insights into biofilm positive *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from bovine subclinical mastitis. Pak. Vet. J., 42: 584-590.
- Algammal, A; Enany, ME; El-Tarabili, RM; Ghobashy, MO and Helmy, YA (2020). Prevalence, antimicrobial resistance profiles, virulence and enterotoxins-determinant genes of MRSA isolated from subclinical bovine mastitis in Egypt. J. Pathog., 9: 1-11. https://doi.org/10.3390/ pathogens9050362.
- Ali, AA; Basha, OA and Ibrahim, MS (2017). Incidence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolation from sheep and goat. Alex. J. Vet. Sci., 54: 142-148.
- Alian, F; Rahimi, E; Shakerian, A; Momtaz, H; Riahi, M and Momeni, M (2012). Antimicrobial resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from bovine, sheep and goat raw milk. Glob. Vet., 8: 111-114.
- Almuzaini, AM (2024). A review on the use of nanomaterials for control and prevention of *Clostridium perfringens*: An organism of zoonotic importance. Pak. Vet. J. 44: 563-570.
- Altaf, M; Ijaz, M; Iqbal, MK; Rehman, A and Avais, M (2020). Molecular characterization of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and associated risk factors with the occurrence of goat mastitis. Pak. Vet. J., 40: 1-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.29261/pakvetj/2019.079.
- Aqib, AI; Ijaz, M; Hussain, R; Durrani, AZ; Anjum, AA;
 Rizwan, A; Sana, S; Farooqi, SH and Hussain, K (2017).
 Identification of coagulase gene in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates recovered from subclinical mastitis in camels. Pak. Vet. J., 37: 160-164.

- Aragon-Alegro, LC; Konta, EM; Suzuki, K; Silva, MG; Júnior, AF and Rall, R (2007). Occurrence of coagulasepositive *Staphylococcus* in various food products commercialized in Botucatu, SP, Brazil and detection of toxins from food and isolated strains. Food Control. 18: 630-634. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2006.02.010.
- Barkema, HW; Schukken, YH and Zadoks, RN (2006). Invited review: The role of cow, pathogen, and treatment regimen in the therapeutic success of bovine *Staphylococcus aureus* mastitis. JDS. 89: 1877-1895. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(06)72256-1.
- Benkerroum, N (2018). Staphylococcal enterotoxins and enterotoxin-like toxins with special reference to dairy products: An overview. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr., 58: 1943-1970.
- Boerema, JA; Clemens, R and Brightwell, G (2006). Evaluation of molecular methods to determine enterotoxigenic status and molecular genotype of bovine, ovine, human and food isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Int. J. Food Microbiol., 107: 192-201. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2005.07.008.
- Bramley, AJ; Cullor, LS; Erskine, RJ; Fox, LK and Harmon, RS (1996). *Current concepts of bovine mastitis*. 4th Edn., NMC, Madison, WI.
- Bryan, FL (1983). Epidemiology of milk-borne diseases. JFP. 46: 637-649. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-46.7.637.
- **CLSI** (2019). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Clinical Lab Standards Institute. 35: 16-38.
- **Dallal, MM; Salehipour, Z and Abdi, M** (2024). Methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from foodhandlers, community nasal carriers and bovine mastitis. Rev. Res. Med. Microbiol., 35: 127-134.
- Dinges, MM; Orwin, PM and Schlievert, PM (2000). Exotoxins of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Clin. Microbiol. Rev., 13: 16-34. https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.13.1.16.
- Dunman, PÁ; Murphy, E; Haney, S; Palacios, D and Tucker-Kellogg, G (2001). Transcription profiling-based identification of *Staphylococcus aureus* genes regulated by the agr and/or sarA loci. J. Bacteriol., 183: 7341-7353. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.183.24.7341-7353.2001.
- Durrani, RH; Sheikh, AA; Humza, M; Ashraf, S; Kokab, A; Mahmood, T and Khan, MU (2024). Evaluation of Antibiotic Resistance Profile and Multiple Antibiotic Resistance Index in Avian Adapted Salmonella enterica serovar Gallinarum Isolates. Pak. Vet. J., http://dx.doi.org/ 10.29261/pakvetj/2024.253.
- El-Ashker, M; Gwida, M; Monecke, S; El-Gohary, F and Ehricht, R (2020). Antimicrobial resistance pattern and virulence profile of *S. aureus* isolated from household cattle and buffalo with mastitis in Egypt. Vet. Microbiol., 240: 1-5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2019.108535.
- Ewida, RM and Al-Hosary, AA (2020). Prevalence of enterotoxins and other virulence genes of *Staphylococcus aureus* caused subclinical mastitis in dairy cows. Vet. World. 13: 1193-1198. https://doi.org/10.14202%2 Fvetworld.2020.1193-1198.
- Ferens, WA; Davis, WC; Hamilton, MJ; Park, YH and Deobald, CF (1998). Activation of bovine lymphocyte subpopulations by *staphylococcal enterotoxin C*. Infect. Immun., 66: 573-580. https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.66.2.573-580.1998.
- Gao, J and Stewart, GC (2004). Regulatory elements of the *Staphylococcus aureus* protein A (Spa) promoter. J. bacteriol., 186: 3738-3748.
- Gilmour, A and Harvey, J (1990). *Staphylococci* in milk and milk products. Soc. Appl. Bacteriol. Symp. Ser., 19: 147S-

166S.

- Girmay, W; Gugsa, G; Taddele, H; Tsegaye, Y and Awol, N (2020). Isolation and identification of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) from milk in shire dairy farms, Tigray, Ethiopia. Vet. Med. Int., 2020: 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8833973.
- Guimarães, FF; Manzi, MP; Joaquim, SF; Richini-Pereira, VB and Langoni, H (2017). Outbreak of methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA)-associated mastitis in a closed dairy herd. JDS., 100: 726-730. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2016-11700.
- Ghauri, HN; Ijaz, M; Ahmed, A; Muhammad, Naveed; Nawab, Y, Javed, MU and Ghaffar, A (2021). Molecular investigation and phylogenetic analysis of anaplasmosis in dogs. J. Parasitol., 107: 295-303.
- Hannan, A; Du, X; Maqbool, B and Khan, A (2024). Nanoparticles as potent allies in combating antibiotic resistance: A promising frontier in antimicrobial therapy. Pak. Vet. J., 44: 557-567.
- Ishaq, M; Ijaz, M; Lateef, M; Ahmed, A; Muzammil, I; Javed, MU; Raza, A and Ghumman, NZ (2022). Molecular characterization of Anaplasma capra infecting captive mouflon (Ovis gmelini) and domestic sheep (Ovis aries) of Pakistan. Small Rumin. Res., 216: 1-8.
- Javed, MU; Ijaz, M; Ahmed, A; Rasheed, H; Sabir, MJ and Jabir, AA (2024). Molecular dynamics and antimicrobial resistance pattern of β-lactam resistant coagulase positive *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from goat mastitis. Pak. Vet. J., 44: 423-429.
- Javed, MU; Ijaz, M; Durrani, AZ and Ali, MM (2023). Onfarm epidemiology, virulence profiling, and molecular characterization of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* at goat farms. Microb. Pathog., 185: 1-12.
- Javed, MU; Ijaz, M; Durrani, AZ and Ali, MM (2024). Molecular insights into antimicrobial resistant Staphylococcus aureus strains: A potential zoonosis of goat origin. Microb. Pathog., 196: 106961.
- Kiš, M; Kolačko, I and Zdolec, N (2021). Unprocessed milk as a source of multidrug-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains. Acta Veterinaria Brno., 90: 357-363.
- Li, X; Zhu, X and Xue, Y (2023). Drug resistance and genetic relatedness of *Escherichia coli* from Mink in Northeast China. Pak. Vet. J., 43: 824-827.
- Liu, J; Zhang, X; Niu, J; Han, Z; Bi, C; Mehmood, K; Farraj, DAA; Alzaidi, I; Iqbal, R and Qin, J (2023). Complete genome of multi-drug resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in bovine mastitic milk in Anhui, China. Pak. Vet. J., 43: 456-462.
- Loncarevic, S; Jørgensen, HJ; Løvseth, A; Mathisen, T and Rørvik, LM (2005). Diversity of *Staphylococcus aureus* enterotoxin types within single samples of raw milk and raw milk products. J. Appl. Microbiol., 98: 344-350. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2004.02467.
- Louie, L; Matsumura, SO; Choi, E; Louie, M and Simor, AE (2000). Evaluation of three rapid methods for detection of methicillin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Clin. Microbiol., 38: 2170-3. https://doi.org/10.1128/jem.38.6. 2170-2173.2000.
- Monistero, V; Graber, HU; Pollera, C; Cremonesi, P and Castiglioni, B (2018). *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from bovine mastitis in eight countries: genotypes, detection of genes encoding different toxins and other virulence genes. Toxins. 10: 1-22. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins10060247.
- Muhammad, G; Naureen, A; Asi, MN and Saqib, M (2010). Evaluation of a 3% surf solution (surf field mastitis test) for the diagnosis of subclinical bovine and bubaline mastitis. JAHP., 42: 457-464. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-009-

9443-3.

- Muzammil, I; Ijaz, M; Saleem, MH and Ali, MM (2022). Drug repurposing strategy: An emerging approach to identify potential therapeutics for treatment of bovine mastitis. Microb. Pathog., 171: 105691.
- Nazari, R; Godarzi, H; Baghi, FR and Moeinrad, M (2014). Enterotoxin gene profiles among *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from raw milk. Iran. J. Vet. Res., 15: 409-412.
- **Obaidat, MM; Salman, AEB and Roess, AA** (2018). High prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of mecA *Staphylococcus aureus* in dairy cattle, sheep, and goat bulk tank milk in Jordan. Trop. Anim. Health Prod., 50: 405-412.
- **Ortega, E; Abriouel, H; Lucas, R and Gálvez, A** (2010). Multiple roles of *Staphylococcus aureus* enterotoxins: pathogenicity, superantigenic activity, and correlation to antibiotic resistance. Toxins. 2: 2117-2131.
- Pamuk, S; Yildirim, Y; Seker, E; Gurler, Z and Kara, R (2012). A survey of the occurrence and properties of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus intermedius* in water buffalo milk and dairy products in Turkey. Int. J. Dairy Technol., 65: 416-422. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0307.2012.00832.x.
- Peles, F; Wagner, M; Varga, L; Hein, I and Rieck, P (2007). Characterization of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from bovine milk in Hungary. Int. J. Food Microbiol., 118: 186-193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2007.07. 010.
- Qureshi, MH; Azam, F; Shafique, M; Aslam, B; Farooq, M; Rafique, MK; Meraj, MT and Ahmed, I (2024). A one health perspective of pet birds bacterial zoonosis and prevention. Pak. Vet. J. 44: 1-8.
- Rasheed, H; Ijaz, M; Muzammil, I; Ahmed, A; Anwaar, F; Javed, MU; Ghumman, NZ and Raza, A (2023). Molecular evidence of β-lactam resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in equids with respiratory tract infections: Frequency and resistance modulation strategy. Acta Trop., 245: 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2023.106967.
- Roy, MC; Chowdhury, T; Hossain, MT; Hasan, MM; Zahran, E; Rahman, MM; Zinnah, KM; Rahman, MM and Hossain, FM (2024). Zoonotic linkage and environmental contamination of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in dairy farms: A one health perspective. One Health. 18: 1-10.
- Sabir, MJ; Ijaz, M; Ahmed, A; Rasheed, H; Javed, MU and Anwaar, F (2024). First report on genotypic estimation of MRSA load in udder of nomadic sheep flocks affected with subclinical mastitis in Pakistan. Res. Vet. Sci., 66: 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2023.105107.
- Saka, E and Terzi Gulel, G (2018). Detection of enterotoxin genes and methicillin-resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from water buffalo milk and dairy products. J. Food Sci., 83: 1716-1722. https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.14172.
- Sharma, D; Sharma, PK and Malik, A (2011). Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of drug resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in raw milk of dairy cattle. Int. Res. J. Microbiol., 2: 466-470. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv. 2307.12008.
- Silva, WP; Destro, MT; Landgraf, M and Franco, BD

(2000). Biochemical characteristics of typical and atypical *Staphylococcus aureus* in mastitic milk and environmental samples of Brazilian dairy farms. Braz. J. Microbiol., 31: 103-106. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83822000002000 08.

- Srinivasan, V; Sawant, AA; Gillespie, BE; Headrick, SJ and Ceasaris, L (2006). Prevalence of enterotoxin and toxic shock syndrome toxin genes in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from milk of cows with mastitis. Foodborne Pathog. Dis., 3: 274-283. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2006. 3.274.
- Turutoglu, H; Hasoksuz, M; Ozturk, D; Yildirim, M and Sagnak, S (2009). Methicillin and aminoglycoside resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from bovine mastitis and sequence analysis of their *mecA* genes. Vet. Res. Commun., 33: 945-956.
- UH, AS (2014). Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from cattle, buffalo, sheep and goat's raws milk in Sohag Governorate, Egypt. Assiut Vet. Med. J., 60: 63-72. https://doi.org/10.21608/avmj. 2014.170753.
- Vintov, J; Aarestrup, FM; Zinn, CE and Olsen, JE (2003). Association between phage types and antimicrobial resistance among bovine *Staphylococcus aureus* from 10 countries. Vet. Microbiol., 95: 133-147. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S0378-1135(03)00156-1.
- Votintseva, AA; Fung, R; Miller, RR; Knox, K; Godwin, H; Wyllie, DH; Bowden, R; Crook, DW and Walker, AS (2014). Prevalence of *Staphylococcus aureus* protein A (spa) mutants in the community and hospitals in Oxfordshire. BMC Microbiol., 14: 1-11.
- Weese, JS (2012). Staphylococcal control in the veterinary hospital. Vet. Dermatol., 23: 292-e58. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1365-3164.2012.01048.x.
- Weese, JS; Rousseau, J; Traub-Dargatz, JL; Willey, BM and McGeer, AJ (2005). Community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in horses and humans who work with horses. JAVMA. 226: 580-583. https://doi.org/10.2460/javma.2005.226.580.
- Wu, S; Huang, J; Zhang, F; Wu, Q; Zhang, J; Pang, R; Zeng, H; Yang, X; Chen, M; Wang, J and Dai, J (2019).
 Prevalence and characterization of food-related methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in China. Front. Microbiol., 10: 1-13.
- Yang, F; Zhang, S; Shang, X; Li, H and Zhang, H (2020). Detection and molecular characterization of methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from subclinical bovine mastitis cases in China. JDS. 103: 840-845. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2019-16317.
- Yunita, MN; Effendi, MH; Rahmaniar, RP; Arifah, S and Yanestria, SM (2020). Identification of spa gene for strain typing of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) isolated from nasal swab of dogs. Biochem. Cell. Arch., 20: 2999-3004. https://doi.org/10.35124/bca.2020. 20.
- Zhu, L; Lai, Y; Li, X; Ma, H; Gong, F; Sun, X; Cao, A; Jiang, T; Han, Y and Pan, Z (2024). Molecular and epidemiological characterization of *Staphylococcus aureus* causing bovine mastitis in China. Microb. Pathog., 191: 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2024.106640.