# Application of a modified human enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit for diagnosis of hydatidosis in sheep

Hashemitabar, G. R. 1\*; Razmi, G. R. 1 and Shahroozian, A. 2

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pathobiology, School of Veterinary Medicine, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran; <sup>2</sup>Graduated from School of Veterinary Medicine, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran

\*Correspondence: G. R. Hashemitabar, Department of Pathobiology, School of Veterinary Medicine, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran. E-mail: hashemit@um.ac.ir

(Received 15 Aug 2006; revised version 10 Dec 2006; accepted 13 Feb 2007)

# Summary

Cystic hydatid disease (hydatidosis) is one of the most important zoonosis that is caused by the larval stage of *Echinococcus granulosus*. As its diagnosis by clinical symptoms alone is difficult and confusing, serologic diagnostic techniques are used to confirm the disease. These techniques can also be used for epidemiologic studies. The present study was performed with a commercial human enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit for the diagnosis of hydatidosis in sera collected from sheep with hydatidosis. Sera were collected from 68 cases of hydatidosis proven by inspection of hydatid-infested livers and lungs of the sheep slaughtered in Mashhad abattoir and also from 11 healthy cases. Sera samples were examined by ELISA kit. The results showed that out of 68 cases of hydatidosis in sheep, 67 samples had positive absorbance. Also from 11 healthy samples, 9 had negative absorbance value. The sensitivity and specificity of the test were 99 and 82%, respectively. Therefore, it can be concluded that it is possible to use human ELISA kit for the diagnosis of hydatidosis in sheep.

Key words: Echinococcus granulosus, Antibody, ELISA, Sheep

## Introduction

Echinococcus granulosus is a major infection with worldwide distribution and variable geographical incidence (Craig et al., 2003). Live animals have the potential to develop the disease and need to be monitored (Kittelberger et al., 2002). Diagnosis of hydatidosis, besides imaging techniques (e.g., digital radiography, ultrasonography, computerized tomography and magnetic resonance imaging) and clinical findings, relies on serologic techniques (Hadighi et al., 2003). For development of a comprehensive immunological assay, antigenic macromolecules from every stage of the infectious agent should be considered. Purified oncosphere proteins caused strong antibody response in experimentallyimmunized sheep and a recombinant oncosphere protein EG95 conferred high degree of protection in sheep, when used as a vaccine. Therefore, oncosphere protein antigen may be potentially useful in serodiagnosis for the ruminant intermediate host of this parasite (Lightowlers et al., 1999). For primary sero-diagnosis and for support of clinical diagnosis of cystic echinococcosis, the selection of immunodiagnostic test involves consideration of the diagnostic operating characteristics of the technique and the purpose for which it will be used. The diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of the tests vary according to the nature and quality of the antigen and the methodologic sensitivity of the selected technology (Poretti et al., 1999). The availability of immunological tests with the ability to detect the majority of ruminants infected with E. granulosus would be desirable for animal import monitoring and also in countries where control schemes for the disease are operating (Kittelberger et al., 2002). Since E. granulosus is the most prevalent causative agent of hydatidosis in ruminants, the attempts are being focused to patients with hvdatidosis by serological tests (Ghorbanalinezhad et al., 2001). The hydatid antigen-based serological tests include indirect haemagglutination (IHA), indirect immunofluore-(IFA), immunoelectrophoresis, scence immunoelectrophoresis counter-current (CCIEP), radio-immunoassay (RIA) and mainly the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Ortona et al., 2000). The ELISA test has been mainly used for immunodiagnosis hydatid disease of (Gottestein, 1984; Wattal et al., 1986). Many of these assays with higher sensitivity and specificity require sophisticated equipment trained technicians. and Therefore, there is a need for a simple and inexpensive immunoassay. The objective of this study was to use ELISA for immunodiagnosis of hydatidosis in sheep.

## **Materials and Methods**

## Collecting sera

Sixty-eight blood samples were collected from sheep with hydatidosis and also 11 healthy cases from Mashhad abattoir, northeastern Iran. The samples were centrifuged at 2000 × g at room temperature for five min to separate sera in Parasitology Department, School of Veterinary Medicine, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad. Sera were stored at -20°C until used.

## **ELISA** assay

The assay was performed by E. granulosus ELISA kit produced by Pishtaz Teb Co. in a 96-well microtitration plates. The wells had been coated with secreted antigens of E. granulosus with the protein concentrations of 2.5 µg/ml phosphate buffer. All the solutions were used at 300 µl per well. Sera samples were diluted (1:10) by phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) included 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) with a pH of 7.4. One-hundred µl of sera was loaded into duplicate wells and incubated for one hr at room temperature. Positive and negative control sera were used into duplicate. The wells were washed five times with PBS-Tween 20 (0.05%). After the final washing, the plate slapped vigorously, well down on a bench top which covered with paper towels. Then,  $100~\mu l$  of HRP-labeled polyclonal antibodies against sheep IgG at a 1:2,000 dilution in PBS containing 1% BSA was loaded into all the wells and incubated for one hr at room temperature. The plate was washed as described above to remove the excess conjugate. For colour development,  $100~\mu l$  of TMB was added to each well as a substrate and the reaction was terminated after 15 min by the addition of  $100~\mu l$  of 1 M HCl solution to each well. The absorbance at 490 nm was monitored in ELISA reader.

#### **Evaluation of the ELISA kit**

To evaluate human ELISA kit for detection of hydatidosis in sheep, it needs to calculate sensitivity, specificity and diagnostic accuracy as described in Table 1.

Table 1: Comparison of ELISA test results with actual hydatidosis status in sheep

Infection	Tests	
	Positive (n=69)	Negative (n=10)
Present (n=68)	67	1
Absent (n=11)	2	9

## Results

All of 68 samples in this study had hydatid cysts in their liver. The presence of antibody against *E. granulosus* in sera from the 68 samples was investigated by ELISA. One of 68 samples with hydatidosis had negative and 67 had positive reactions (Table 1). Also, among 11 healthy sera from sheep, two found positive (Table 1). All these translated to a sensitivity, specificity and diagnostic accuracy of 99, 82 and 96%, respectively.

## **Discussion**

The immunology of hydatid disease has been divided conceptually into pre- and post-encystment phases, which are differentiated by the formation of the laminated layer around the hydatid cyst. This occurs between two and four weeks post-infection in the animal intermediate or human host following ingestion of the egg and release of the oncosphere (Eckert and Deplazes, 2004).

This has caused a constant search for increasingly sensitive techniques to detect merely low antibody levels. To achieve this, several immunological methods have been evaluated in recent years (Zarzosa *et al.*, 1999).

In our study, results showed that the sensitivity and specificity of ELISA test were 99 and 82%, respectively. So, human ELISA kit for the diagnosis of hydatidosis, may be also used for the diagnosis of sheep hydatidosis. A recent study by Kittelberger et al. (2002) evaluated three ELISA tests employing a purified antigen B subunit, a recombinant oncospheral antigen (EG95) and a crude protoscolex antigen in 249 natural or experimentally-infected sheep. The highest diagnostic sensitivity yielded was 63% with the protoscolex antigen, but specificities were as high as 96%. Although these sensitivities are relatively low in terms of individual cases, the assay could be beneficial if used on a flock basis.

In a study with a crude antigen of sheep for the detection of serum antibody in sheep infested with E. granulosus by ELISA, its diagnostic sensitivity and specificity were up to 62.7 and 98.2%, respectively (Kittelberger et al., 2002). In another study, for detection of human hydatidosis by antigens of a crude hydatid fluid from lung and liver of sheep, the sensitivity and specificity of ELISA were 99.22 and 98.75%, respectively (Siavashi et al., 2005). Three tests including ELISA, CCIEP and IHA using crude and purified antigens compared with each other. Among these tests, ELISA had the lowest cross-reaction with sensitivity of 68% in all tests and crude antigen showed the highest sensitivity (Abdel and Hady, 1996). It has been reported that the sensitivity and specificity with antigens of hydatid cyst fluid of camel in an ELISA to measure total E. granulosus specific IgG antibody were 100 and 98.4%, respectively (Ramzy et al., 1999). In another study, carried out for the diagnosis of hydatidosis in human by a new ELISA kit and using the sheep crude antigens, the sensitivity and specificity of the ELISA test were 93.3 and 96.6%, (Ghorbanalinezhad et al., respectively 2001). Lower specificity of the ELISA reported in this study could be due to the poor quality enzyme conjugated anti-immunoglobulin. It has been shown that sheep produce specific immunological response during natural *E. granulosus* infections (Xiao *et al.*, 2003). However, it seems that these responses do not lead to raised serum antibody level in many animal or they are not maintained throughout the course of the infection (Kittelberger *et al.*, 2002).

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank Ferdowsi University of Mashhad for financial support. Mr Azari and Mrs Moghaddam are thanked for their time and help.

## References

- Abdel, A and Hady, AL (1996). Studies on most reactive purified antigen for immuno-diagnosis of hydatid disease. J. Egypt. Soc. Parasitol., 26: 297-303.
- Eckert, J and Deplazes, P (2004). Biological, epidemiological, and clinical aspects of echinococcosis, a zoonosis of increasing concern. Clin. Microbiol. Rev., 17: 107-135.
- Ghorbanalinezhad, E; Assmar, M; Piazak, N and Khabiri, A (2001). Development of a new ELISA kit for the diagnosis of hydatidosis in humans. Iranian J. Public. Health. 30: 67-70.
- Craig, PS; Rogan, MT and Campos-Ponce, M (2003). Echinococcosis: disease, detection and transmission. Parasitology. (Suppl.), 127: S5-S20.
- Gottestein, B (1984). An immunoassay for the detection of circulating antigens in human echinococcosis. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg., 33: 1105-1109.
- Hadighi, R; Mirhadi, F and Rokni, MB (2003). Evaluation of a dot-ELISA for the serodiagnosis of human hydatid disease. Pak. J. Med. Sci., 19: 268-271.
- Kittelberger, R; Reichel, MP; Jenner, J; Heath, DD; Ligthowlers, MW; Moro, P; Ibrahem, MM; Craig, PS and Keefe, JS (2002). Evaluation of three enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for the detection of serum antibodies in sheep infected with *Echinococcus granulosus*. Vet. Parasitol., 110: 57-76.
- Lightowlers, MW; Jensen, O; Fernandez, E; Iriarte, JA; Woollard, DJ; Gauci, CG; Jenkins, DJ and Heath, DD (1999). Vaccination trials in Australia and Argentina confirm the effectiveness of the EG95 hydatid vaccine in sheep. Int. J. Parasitol., 29: 531-534.

- Ortona, E; Rigano, R; Margutti, P; Noralgiacomo, S; Ioppolo, S; Vaccari, S; Barca, S; Buttari, B; Profuma, E; Teggi, A and Siracusano, A (2000). Native and recombinant antigens in the immuno-diagnosis of human cystic echinococcosis. Parasite Immunol., 22: 553-559.
- Poretti, D; Felleisen, E; Grimm, F; Pfister, M; Teuscher, F; Zuercher, C; Reichen, J and Gottstein, B (1999). Differential immunodiagnosis between cystic hydatid disease and other cross-reactive pathologies. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg., 60: 193-198.
- Ramzy, RM; Helmy, H; El Zayyat, EA; Rifaat, MM; Abdel Hameed, DM and Abdel-Baki, MH (1999). An enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detection of IgG1 antibodies specific to human cystic echinococcosis in Egypt. Trop. Med. Int. Health. 4: 616-620.
- Siavashi, MR; Taherkhani, H; Rezaei, K; Razavi Deligani, MR and Assmar, M (2005). Comparison of dot-ELISA and sandwich ELISA diagnostic tests in detection of human

- hydatidosis. Iranian Biomed. J., 9: 91-94.
- Wattal, C; Malla, N; Khan, IA and Agarwal, SC (1986). Comparative evaluation of enzymelinked immunosorbent assay for the diagnosis of pulmonary echinococcosis. J. Clin. Microbiol., 24: 41-46.
- Xiao, N; Mamuti, W; Yamasaki, H; Sako, Y; Nakao, M; Nakaya, K; Gottstein, B; Schantz, PM; Lightowlers, MW; Craig, PS and Ito, A (2003). Evaluation of use of recombinant Em18 and affinity-purified Em18 for serological differentiation of alveolar echinococcosis from cystic echinococcosis and other parasitic infections. J. Clin. Microbiol., 41: 3351-3353.
- Zarzosa, MP; Orduna Domingo, A; Guttierez, P; Alonso, P; Cuervo, M; Prado, A; Bratos, MA; Garcia-Yuste, M; Ramos, G; Rodriguez, A and Torros, A (1999). Evaluation of six serological tests in diagnosis and postoperative control of pulmonary hydatid disease patients. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis., 35: 255-262.